



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,382	04/08/2004	Matthew Peterson	TPIP039	8458
34846	7590 11/04/2005		EXAMINER	
	M PHARMACEUTICAL	CHANG, CELIA C		
29 HARTWELL AVENUE LEXINGTON, MA 02421			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625 _	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/820,382	PETERSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Celia Chang	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 At</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 10-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-21 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	n from consideration.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment and response filed by applicants dated Aug. 23, 2005 have been entered and considered carefully.

Claims 1-9 have been canceled. Claims 10-21 stayed withdrawn. Claims 22-49 newly added are pending.

2. The rejection of claims 1-6 under 35 USC 103(a) over Augart et al. '482 in view of Berge et at. is now applicable to the newly added claims 22-24, 30-34, 40-43, 48-49 and maintained for reason of record.

Applicants argued that skilled person in the art such as Davis et al. will recognize that pharmaceutical addition salts would have different characters therefore each salt is a different compound. Such argument does not obviate the established prima facie case of obviousness. Please note that it was clearly taught by the Berger reference of all the different properties of the pharmaceutically acceptable salts which will "behave quite differently because of the physical, chemical and thermodynamic properties" (see p.2 lest column last paragraph) and "The salt form is known to influence a number of physical chemical properties of the parent compound including dissolution rate, solubility, stability...." (see p.5 lest column last paragraph). Therefore, the difference of pharmaceutically acceptable salts as disclosed in Davis et al. is expected as clearly disclosed by Berge. The motivation of choosing tartrate, maleate or edysilate of the instant claims was found in that they are all FDA acceptable salt as compared to the FDA non-acceptable salts as clearly suggested by Berge between table I and table II. It is well recognized that those salts such as oxalate of the FDA non-acceptable choices have undesirable properties (see CA 91:69601 i.e. oxalate has renal toxicity). Therefore, the explicit listing of the "desirable" FDA approved addition salts is a clear suggestion for one skilled in the art to pick and choose. The mere knowledge that some salt may have different property even develop toxicity does not negate the "obvious" choice of tartrate, maleate or edysilate as suggested by the FDA acceptance. In the previous office action it has been clearly delineated that the drug gabapentin is known; a FDA acceptable salt gabapentin hydrochloride has been prepared and known to be operable; clear suggestion by conventional teaching in the art that in

Application/Control Number: 10/820,382

Art Unit: 1625

addition to hydrochloride, the other desirable FDA approved salt forms include tartrate, maleate or edysilate; thus, both enablement and suggestion were found in the prior art. Indication of some degree of unpredictability does not per se rebut an established prima facie case of obviousness in absence of vis-à-vis comparison showing unexpectancy. In re Wilder 195 USPQ 426.

3. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 USC 103(a) over Augart et al. '482 in view of Berge et at. further in view of US pharmacopia and Rouli, is now applicable to the newly added claims 22-49 and maintained for reason of record.

The same argument by applicants and its non-persuasiveness as delineated supra is hereby incorporated by reference.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-0679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,382

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OACS/Chang Nov. 2, 2005 Celia Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625 Page 4